

Open Access

Tele-Speech Therapy in COVID-19 pandemic

H. R. Farmai¹, A. R. Khatoonabadi^{*1&2}

¹Department of Speech therapy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Geriatric Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: The world is changing due to everyday development in technology and communication devices facilities. Using these communication devices have evolved social communication, education and also health care services.

Results: there are some challenges, such as lack of high-quality studies and little acceptance from the therapist. Therefore, it is critical to work on validating assessment tools for telerehabilitation conditions. Besides, there is a need for working on modifying treatments methods and assess their efficacy in a different group of patients with high-quality studies. **Cell, Gene and Therapy, Vol.2, Number 4, Winter 1**st, 2021; 140- 141

The world is changing due to everyday development in technology and communication devices facilities. Using these communication devices have evolved social communication, education and also health care services. The advantages like availability, being cost and time benefit and eliminating the need for transportation have made tele-communication popular in different domains. These type of delivery of rehabilitation services is called telerehabilitation. Thorough all the rehabilitation services, telerehabilitat ion has found its way especially in speech-language pathology (SLP) that is called Tele-speech therapy or Telepractice in Speech-Language Pathology. The reason is because the SLP services are mostly based on visual or/and auditory stimulations and using internet and multimedia devices are very suitable for providing them.

The history of the application of telerehabilitation in SLP goes back to 1976. In that day, SLP specialists provided intervention for Birmingham hospital patients through email and telephone (1). After that, telerehabilitation in SLP expanded in more innovative forms. Hence, today there is a large body of evidence around providing SLP services in telerehabilitation form in many developmental and acquired disorders (2-5).



^{*} Corresponding Author: khatoonabadi@tums.ac.ir

earching among available literature, we see that most of the studies have focused on comparing telerehabilitation and face to face intervention in outputs and patient's satisfaction. They found that using telerehabilitation services provides comparable screening, assessment, and treatment outcomes with face to face intervention as the golden standard (4, 6). Besides, studies have shown a high level of patient's satisfaction after getting telerehabilitation (7).

The patient's satisfaction after using telerehabilitation is not only because of being cost and time beneficial but also due to its alignment with the digital world. For example, in the developmental disorder domain, children show more motivation through multimedia stimulations instead of conventional tools like books or cards (8). Furthermore, most older patients who have mobility difficulties may prefer to get their intervention at their home. Hence, as patients receive intervention at home, they have shown better generalization of practised items to their natural environment (9).

Finally, these days our community is face to COVID 19 pandemic, there is a severe concern for face to face visiting risks. Considering this situation and everyday demands for SLP services, there is a critical need for paying more attention to telerehabilitation.



Conclusion

Indeed, there are some challenges, such as lack of high-quality studies and little acceptance from the therapist. Therefore, it is critical to work on validating assessment tools for telerehabilitation conditions. Besides, there is a need for working on modifying treatments methods and assess their efficacy in a different group of patients with high-quality studies.

References

- 1. Vaughn G. Tel-communicology: health-care delivery system for persons with communicative disorders. Asha. 1976;18(1):13-7.
- 2.Simacek J, Dimian AF, McComas JJ. Communication intervention for young children with severe neurodevelopmental disabilities via telehealth. Journal of autism and developmental disorders. 2017;47(3):744-67.
- 3.Benson S, Dimian A, Elmquist M, Simacek J, McComas J, Symons F. Coaching parents to assess and treat self-injurious behaviour via telehealth. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research. 2018;62(12):1114-23.
- 4.Weidner K, Lowman J. Telepractice for adult speech-language pathology services: a systematic

review. Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups. 2020;5(1):326-38.

- 5.Coleman JJ, Frymark T, Franceschini NM, Theodoros DG. Assessment and treatment of cognition and communication skills in adults with acquired brain injury via telepractice: a systematic review. American journal of speech-language pathology. 2015;24(2):295-315.
- 6.Cotelli M, Manenti R, Brambilla M, Gobbi E, Ferrari C, Binetti G, et al. Cognitive telerehabilitation in mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia: a systematic review. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2019;25(2):67-79.
- 7.Regina Molini-Avejonas D, Rondon-Melo S, de La Higuera Amato CA, Samelli AG. A systematic review of the use of telehealth in speech, language and hearing sciences. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2015;21(7):367-76.
- 8.Kumar S, Cohn ER. Telerehabilitation: Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- 9.Trialists OS. Rehabilitation therapy services for stroke patients living at home: systematic review of randomised trials. The Lancet. 2004;363(9406):352-6.